Origin Verification Report

CoreLink Manufacturing Co., Ltd. | Tainan, Taiwan

SAMPLE REPORT All company names, data and findings in this report are fictitious and shown for illustration only.

Origin Verification Report: Sample Precision Industrial Co., Ltd. (fictitious)

Item	Detail
Report no.	CL-OV-SAMPLE-001
Client	Sample Mobility Inc., Ohio, USA (fictitious)
Supplier audited	Sample Precision Industrial Co., Ltd., Taichung, Taiwan (fictitious)
Products in scope	A: Aluminum bicycle stem (6061-T6), B: Steel bottom bracket shell, C: Handlebar end plug (nylon)
Visit date	2026-10-06, short notice (48 hours)
Auditor	Kevin Chuang, CoreLink Manufacturing
Time on site	6.5 hours

Verdict

Each product receives a binary verdict. If any one of the five checks fails, the product is **NOT VERIFIED**. There is no partial pass.

Product	Raw material	Equipment	Process	Records	Mass balance	Verdict
A. Aluminum stem	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	**VERIFIED**: made on site
B. Bottom bracket shell	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	**NOT VERIFIED**: finished goods brought in and repacked
C. Handlebar end plug	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	**VERIFIED**: made at a local subcontractor (visited)

Overall rating: RED. Product A is made on site as claimed. Product B is not manufactured at this facility. We found finished parts arriving in unbranded cartons, no steel bar stock, no tube cutting or brazing equipment, and shipments in the last 3 months that exceed what the site could produce. We recommend that the client stop ordering product B as "Made in Taiwan" from this supplier until the true origin is clarified.

Scope and method

We verify five things on site for each product. The visit is arranged on short notice so that the factory cannot prepare a showcase line.

1. **Raw material**: stock that matches the product (alloy, size, quantity), with purchase invoices whose volumes are consistent with shipments.
2. **Equipment**: machines capable of making the part, in working condition, with signs of recent use (chips, coolant, tool wear, maintenance logs).
3. **Process**: work in progress at every stage of the routing, operators who can explain their step, and first-article and in-process inspection records.
4. **Records**: production orders, material issue records and shipping records that tie together for the same lots.
5. **Mass balance**: machine count x cycle time x shifts compared with the quantity shipped to the client.

We also check the packing area and the factory's digital environment, because these are where relabeling usually shows up.

Key component source check (tier 1)

We confirm the immediate source of each key input. We do not certify the origin of raw materials beyond the first tier.

Component	Used in	Source claimed by supplier	Evidence seen on site	Result
6061-T6 aluminum bar	A	Taiwan aluminum mill	Bar stock with mill heat numbers; mill invoices for 2.4 t in Q3	Consistent
Steel bracket shell blank	B	"Made in house from tube"	No steel tube stock, no cutting or brazing equipment; 14 unbranded cartons of finished shells in receiving	Not consistent
Nylon end plug	C	Local injection molder, 8 km away	Visited molder: mold, resin lots and production log match	Consistent
Anodizing (A)	A	Local anodizing shop	Delivery notes and returned racks on site	Consistent

Mass balance: product B

Item	Value
Quantity shipped to client, Jul to Sep 2026	42,000 pcs
Machines on site able to make B	0
Maximum the site could produce in that period	0 pcs
Gap	42,000 pcs from an outside source

For product A, the site has 6 CNC lathes. At 135 seconds per part, two 8-hour shifts, 22 working days and 75% utilization, capacity is about 42,200 pcs per month. Shipments of 31,000 pcs per month are within that capacity.

Packing area and labeling

- 14 unbranded cartons of finished bottom bracket shells in receiving, with no supplier label and no packing list.
- A roll of "Made in Taiwan" stickers next to the repacking table, with B parts being moved from plain bags into client-branded boxes.
- Product A cartons are packed directly at the end of the CNC line, with lot labels that match the production orders.

Digital environment

- The production planner coordinates "an outside partner" for product B through a messaging app on a personal phone. Quotations and photos of finished B parts are shared there. No purchase orders for B parts appear in the ERP.
- The ERP shows production orders and material issues for A and C, but none for B.

Findings and actions

No.	Finding	Severity	Recommended action
1	Product B is not made at this facility; finished parts are brought in and repacked	Critical	Stop "Made in Taiwan" declarations for B; request the true source in writing
2	"Made in Taiwan" stickers used at the repacking table	Critical	Request removal and a written labeling procedure
3	No purchase records for B parts in the ERP	Major	Request supplier name and purchase documents for B
4	Product A capacity and records are consistent	Note	Continue; re-verify every 6 months

Limitations

This report verifies where the products in scope were manufactured, based on what we observed at the facility and the records shown to us on the visit date. It does not trace raw materials beyond the first tier, and it is not a customs ruling or legal opinion on country of origin. Findings may change if the supplier changes its sources or process after the visit. Photos of each finding are attached to the full report.

This report reflects professional judgment based on on-site observation and the information made available on the audit date. It is not a guarantee of future performance and does not replace a customs ruling or legal opinion.